UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/597,334	02/21/2007	Rolf Engstrand	P17947-US1	9406
27045 ERICSSON INC	7590 02/25/201 C.	EXAMINER		
6300 LEGACY		ZHAO, WEI		
M/S EVR 1-C-11 PLANO, TX 75024			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2475	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/25/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Α	pplication No.	Applicant(s)	
1	0/597,334	ENGSTRAND, ROLF	
E	xaminer	Art Unit	

ontinuation Sheet (PTOL-303)	Application No.
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover she	
THE REPLY FILED <u>17 February 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CO	-
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendn application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply n periods: 	a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this nent, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection	n.
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS fr Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In or the mailing date of the final rejection.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition und have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding of the shortened statutory period set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	nding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee of for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41 filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period seamenDMENTS	41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or seal (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	rch (see NOTE below);
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by appeal; and/or	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number	of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice	ee of Non Compliant Amendment (PTOL 324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	e of Non-Compliant Amendment (F1OL-324).
 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in non-allowable claim(s). 	a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appending the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	or b) 🛛 will be entered and an explanation of ed.
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>15-22</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons wh was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, bu entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier p.	under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the ci	` ', ' '
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	ains after entry is below of attached.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the an On pages 6-9 of the Response with respects to claim 15, Applicants assert the	prior art doesn't teach "assigning a weight to each
user associated with the arbiter node, wherein the weights indicate a percentage each user is provisionally allowed to use; receiving at the arbiter node, a requestermining by the arbiter node, an actual bandwidth that the first user would usession is granted, wherein the actual bandwidth for the first user is calculated each currently ongoing session in which the first user is a participant plus the first user is	est to join a new multicast session from a first user; itilize if the request to join the new multicast as the sum of the first user's bandwidth part of irst user's bandwidth part of the new multicast
session, wherein the first user's bandwidth part of any given session is calculated by the total number of users participating in the given session, determine	
divided by the total number of users participating in the given session; determine the first user, wherein the allowed bandwidth for the first user is calculated as t	
multiplied by the weight assigned to the first user; comparing by the arbiter not	
allowed bandwidth for the first user; granting the request when the actual band allowed bandwidth for the first user; and denving the request when the actual bandwidth for the first user; and denving the request when the actual bandwidth for the first user; and denving the request when the actual bandwidth for the first user; and denving the request when the actual bandwidth for the first user; and denving the request when the actual bandwidth for the first user; and denving the request when the actual bandwidth for the first user; and denving the request when the actual bandwidth for the first user; and denving the request when the actual bandwidth for the first user; and denving the request when the actual bandwidth for the first user; and denving the request when the actual bandwidth for the first user; and denving the request when the actual bandwidth for the first user; and denving the request when the actual bandwidth for the first user; and denving the request when the actual bandwidth for the first user; and denving the request when the actual bandwidth for the first user; and denving the request when the actual bandwidth for the first user; and denving the request when the first user; and the first user is actual bandwidth for the first user.	width for the first user is less than or equal to the

The prior art teaches to provide a communication network comprising a plurality of IEEE-1394 nodes connected to a serial bus, each of the nodes functioning as a source node or a destination node for signaling an isochronous channel setup request containing session data and signaling an isochronous channel release request, and a multicast manager connected to the serial bus (column [2] lines 17-23, Momona). At step 1103, the source node 10A sends a session channel setup request to the multicast manager 10D for requesting the bandwidth desired by the destination node 10C. This is done by setting the control register 30 of manager 10D with the session data and the bandwidth data received with the reservation message from the destination node. If

allowed bandwidth for the first user."

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

/DANG T TON/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2475 /Wei Zhao / Examiner, Art Unit 2475 Application No.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20100218